# Package: geecure (via r-universe)

October 27, 2024



# **Contents**



### <span id="page-1-0"></span>2 geecure-package



geecure-package *Marginal proportional hazards mixture cure models with generalzied estimating equations*

### Description

A package that uses generalized estimating equations (GEE) approach to estimate marginal proportional hazards mixture cure (PHMC) models. This package implements recently developed inference procedures for the marginal PHMC models with the expectation-solution (ES) algorithm. The package includes the parametric PHMC model with Weibull baseline distribution in the latency part and the semiparametric PHMC model for fitting the multivariate survival data with a cure fraction.

#### Details

Package: geecure Type: Package Version: 1.0-6 Date: 2018-03-28 License: GPL(>=2) LazyData: TRUE

#### Author(s)

Yi Niu <niuyi@dlut.edu.cn>, Hui Song, Xiaoguang Wang, Yingwei Peng

#### References

Liang, K.-Y. and Zeger, S. L. (1986) Longitudinal data analysis using generalized linear models. *Biometrika*, 73: 13-22.

Niu, Y. and Peng, Y. (2013) A semiparametric marginal mixture cure model for clustered survival data. *Statistics in Medicine*, 32: 2364-2373.

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>basesurv 3

Niu, Y. and Peng, Y. (2014) Marginal regression analysis of clustered failure time data with a cure fraction. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 123: 129-142.

Niu, Y., Song, L., Liu, Y, and Peng, Y. (2018) Modeling clustered long-term survivors using marginal mixture cure model. *Biometrical Journal*, doi: 10.1002/bjmj.201700114.

Peng, Y., Taylor, J. M. G, and Yu, B. (2007) A marginal regression model for multivariate failure time data with a surviving fraction. *Lifetime Data Analysis*, 13: 351-369

Rosen, O., Jiang, W., and Tanner, M. A. (2000) Mixtures of marginal models. *Biometrika*, 87: 391-404.

Yu, B. and Peng, Y. (2008) Mixture cure models for multivariate survival data. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 52: 1524-1532.

basesurv *Estimation of the baseline survival function*

#### Description

The estimated baseline survival function based on the product-limit estimator (Kalbfleisch and Prentice, 2002), which is uesd to update the E-step in the ES algorithm.

#### Usage

basesurv(Time, Status, X, beta, Lambda, w, model)

#### Arguments



#### References

Kalbfleisch, J. D. and Prentice, R. L. (2002) *The Statistical Analysis of Failure Time Data*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2nd edition.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>This multi-center acute leukemia study consists of 137 patients with acute myelocytic leukemia (AML) or acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) aged 7 to 52 from March 1, 1984 to June 30, 1989 at four institutions (Klein and Moeschberger, 2003). The failure time on study is defined at time (in days) to relapse or death.

#### Usage

data(bmt)

#### Format

The variables represented in the data set are as follows:

- g Disease group: 1 All, 2 AML Low Risk, 3 AML High Risk.
- T1 Time to death or on study time.
- T2 Disease free survival time (time to relapse, death or end of study).
- d1 Death indicator: 1 Dead, 0 Alive.
- d2 Relapse indicator: 1 Relapsed, 0 Disease Free.
- d3 Disease free survival indicator: 1 Dead or Relapsed, 0 Alive Disease Free.
- TA Time to Acute Graft-Versus-Host Disease.
- da Acute GVHD indicator: 1 Developed Acute GVHD, 0 Never Developed Acute GVHD.
- TC Time to Chronic Graft-Versus-Host Disease.
- dc Chronic GVHD Indicator: 1 Developed Chronic GVHD, 0 Never Developed Chronic GVHD.
- TP Time to return of platelets to normal levels.
- dp Platelet recovery indicator: 1 platelets returned to normal, 0 platelets never returned to normal.
- Z1 Patient age in years.
- Z2 Donor age in years.
- Z3 Patient sex: 1 Male, 0 Female.
- Z4 Doner sex: 1 Male, 0 Female.
- Z5 Patient CMV status: 1 CMV positive, 0 CMV negative.
- Z6 Donor CMV status: 1 CMV positive, 0 CMV negative.
- Z7 Waiting time to transplant in days.
- Z8 FAB: 1 FAB Grade 4 or 5 and AML, 0 otherwise.
- Z9 Hospital: 1 The Ohio State University, 2 Alferd , 3 St. Vincent, 4 Hahnemann.
- Z10 MTX: used as a Graft-Versus-Host-Prophylactic 1 Yes, 0 No.

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>emes 5

#### References

Klein, J. P. and Moeschberger, M. L. (2003) *Survival Analysis: Techniques for Censored and Truncated Data*. Springer, New York, 2nd edition.

emes *Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm and Expectation-Solution (ES) algorithm*

### Description

EM algorithm is based on Peng et al. (2007) and ES algorithm is based on Niu and Peng (2013). ES algorithm is an estension of the EM algorithm where the M-step of the EM algorithm is replaced by a step requiring the solution of a series of generalised estimating equations. Both algorithm are used for the analysis of survival cure data with potential correlation.

#### Usage

emes(Time, Status, X, Z, id, corstr, stdz, esmax, eps)



<span id="page-5-0"></span>ES algorithm is an estension of the EM algorithm where the M-step of the EM algorithm is replaced by a step requiring the solution of a series of generalised estimating equations. We use the ES algorithm for the analysis of survival cure data with potential correlation.

### Usage

es(Time, Status, X, Z, id, model, corstr, stdz, esmax, eps)



<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fit the PH model in the latency part with the GEE approach.

#### Usage

geebt(Status, Lambda, X, beta, w, id, corstr)

#### Arguments





#### Description

Fit the marginal proportional hazards mixture cure (PHMC) model with the generalized estimating equations (GEE). GEE approach is generalized to the marginal PHMC model through the expectation-solution (ES) algorithm to account for the correlation among the cure statuses and the dependence among the failure times of uncured patients to improve the estimation efficiency.

#### Usage

```
geecure(formula, cureform, data, id, model = c("para", "semi"),
       corstr = c("independence", "exchangeable"), Var = TRUE, stdz = FALSE,
       boots = FALSE, nboot = 100, esmax = 100, eps = 1e-06)
```
#### Arguments



### Details

The marginal PHMC model is considered in this function. For cure rate, a logistic regression model is employed and the probability of being cured is given by  $(1 + \exp(\gamma Z))^{(-1)}$ . For uncured subject, the failure time is modeled by either the parametric proportional hazards model with Weibull baseline distributions or the semiparametric proportional hazards model. A covariate can be used either in formula or in cureform or in both. The model parameters are estimated by the expectation-solution (ES) algorithm and the standard error estimates are obtained from sandwich variance formula based on Niu and Peng (2014) and Niu et al. (2018).

#### Value

An object of class geecure is returned. It can be examined by print.geecure().

#### geecure 99

#### References

Niu, Y. and Peng, Y. (2014) Marginal regression analysis of clustered failure time data with a cure fraction. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 123: 129-142.

Niu, Y., Song, L., Liu, Y, and Peng, Y. (2018) Modeling clustered long-term survivors using marginal mixture cure model. *Biometrical Journal*, doi: 10.1002/bjmj.201700114.

#### Examples

```
# Be patient, the following examples may take several minites on a faster computer.
# Example 1. Fit the marginal parametric PHMC model for the smoking cessation data.
data(smoking)
smoking$Time <- ifelse(smoking$Relapse == 0, smoking$Timept1,
                       (smoking$Timept1 + smoking$Timept2)/2)
# plot the KM survival curve of smoking cessation data
plot(survfit(Surv(Time, Relapse) ~ SexF + (SI.UC), data = smoking),
      ylab = "Survival function", xlab = "Years", ylim = c(0.5, 1),
      xlim = c(0, 6), lty = 1:4, col = 1:4)
legend(0.5, 0.63, c("SI/Male", "SI/Female", "UC/Female", "UC/Male"), cex = 1,
        col = c(2, 4, 3, 1), lty = c(2, 4, 3, 1))geesmokingind <- geecure(Surv(Time, Relapse) ~ SexF + Duration + SI.UC + F10Cigs +
      SexF * SI.UC, cureform = \sim SexF + Duration + SI.UC + F10Cigs + SexF * SI.UC,
      data = smoking, model = "para", id = smoking$Zip, corstr = "independence")
geesmokingexch <- geecure(Surv(Time, Relapse) ~ SexF + Duration + SI.UC + F10Cigs +
      SexF * SI.UC, cureform = \sim SexF + Duration + SI.UC + F10Cigs + SexF * SI.UC,
      data = smoking, model = "para", id = smoking$Zip, corstr = "exchangeable")
# Example 2. Fit the marginal semiparametric PHMC model for the bmt data.
data(bmt)
bmt$g <- factor(bmt$g, label = c("ALL", "AML low risk", "AML high risk"))
bmt$Z8 <- factor(bmt$Z8, label = c("Otherwise", "FAB"))
# plot the KM survival curve of bmt data
plot(survfit(Surv(T2, d3) ~ 1, data = bmt), xlab = "Days", ylab = "Survival Probability",
     cex.lab = 1.7, cex.axis = 2, cex.main = 1.7, mark.time = TRUE)
geebmtind \leq geecure(Surv(T2, d3) \sim factor(g) + Z8, cureform = \sim factor(g) + Z8,
             data = bmt, model = "semi", id = bmt$79, corstr= "independence")
geebmtexch <- geecure(Surv(T2, d3) ~ factor(g) + Z8, cureform = ~ factor(g) + Z8,
              data = bmt, model = "semi", id = bmt$Z9, corstr= "exchangeable",
              stdz = TRUE, boots = TRUE)
# Example 3. Fit the marginal semiparametric PHMC model for the tonsil data.
data(tonsil)
tonsil<-tonsil[-c(141,136,159),]
tonsil$Sex <- ifelse(tonsil$Sex == 1, 0, 1)
tonsil$Cond <- ifelse(tonsil$Cond == 1, 0, 1)
tonsilT \leftarrow ifelse(tonsilT \leftarrow 4, 0, 1)
```

```
# plot the KM survival curve of tonsil data
plot(survfit(Surv(Time, Status) ~ 1, data = tonsil), xlab = "Days", ylab = "Survival
     Probability", cex.lab = 1.7, cex.axis = 2, cex.main = 1.7, mark.time = TRUE)
geetonsilind <- geecure2(Surv(Time, Status) ~ Sex + factor(Grade) + Age + Cond + T,
                cureform = \sim Sex + factor(Grade) + Age + Cond + T, data = tonsil,
                id = tonsil$Inst, corstr = "independence")
geetonsilexch <- geecure2(Surv(Time, Status) ~ Sex + factor(Grade) +Age + Cond + T,
                 cureform = \sim Sex + factor(Grade) + Age + Cond + T, data = tonsil,
                id = tonsil$Inst, corstr = "exchangeable", stdz = TRUE, Var = FALSE)
```
geecure2 *Semiparametric marginal proportional hazards mixture cure model*

#### Description

Fit the semiparametric marginal proportional hazards mixture cure (PHMC) model for clustered failure time data. The function is based on the methods proposed by Peng et al. (2007) and Niu and Peng (2013).

#### Usage

```
geecure2(formula, cureform, data, id, corstr = c("independence", "exchangeable"),
Var = TRUE, stdz = FALSE, boots = FALSE, nboot = 100, esmax = 100, eps = 1e-06)
```


#### geecure2 11



#### Details

The semiparametric marginal PHMC model is considered in this function. For cure rate, a logistic regression model is employed and the probability of being cured is given by  $(1+\exp(\gamma Z))^{(-1)}$ . For uncured subject, the failure time is modeled by the semiparametric proportional hazards model. A covariate can be used either in formula or in cureform or in both. When corstr = independence, the model parameters are estimated by the expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm and the standard error estimates are obtained from sandwich variance formula based on Peng et al. (2007). When corstr = exchangeable, stdz = TRUE and boots = TRUE, the model parameters are estimated by the expectation-solution (ES) algorithm and the standard error estimates are obtained from bootstrap variance formula based on and Niu et al. (2013).

#### Value

An object of class geecure2 is returned. It can be examined by print.geecure2().

#### References

Peng, Y., Taylor, J. M. G., and Yu, B. (2007) A marginal regression model for multivariate failure time data with a surviving fraction. *Lifetime Data Analysis*, 13: 351-369.

Niu, Y. and Peng, Y. (2013) A semiparametric marginal mixture cure model for clustered survival data. *Statistics in Medicine*, 32: 2364-2373.

#### Examples

```
# Example. Fit the marginal semiparametric PHMC model for the bmt data.
data(bmt)
geebmtind2 <- geecure2(Surv(T2, d3) ~ Z8, cureform = ~ Z8, data = bmt, id = bmt$Z9,
              corstr= "independence")
geebmtexch2 <- geecure2(Surv(T2, d3) \sim Z8, cureform = \sim Z8, data = bmt, id = bmt$Z9,
               corstr= "exchangeable", stdz = TRUE, Var = FALSE)
```
<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Fit the logistic model in the incidence part with the GEE approach

### Usage

geega(w, Z, gamma, id, corstr)

### Arguments





# Description

Obtain the initial value of the cumulative baseline hazard function in the latency part through the GEE with the independence working correlation matrix.

# Usage

```
initial_Lambda(Time, Status, X, Z, id, model, corstr)
```


# <span id="page-12-0"></span>print.geecure 13



# print.geecure *Print geecure object*

# Description

Output of geecure object.

# Usage

## S3 method for class 'geecure'  $print(x, \ldots)$ 

# Arguments



print.geecure2 *Print geecure2 object*

# Description

Output of geecure2 object.

## Usage

```
## S3 method for class 'geecure2'
print(x, \ldots)
```


<span id="page-13-0"></span>The original data consist of 223 people enrolled in the study between November 1986 and February 1989 from 51 zip codes in the southeastern corner of Minnesota in the United States (Banerjee and Carlin, 2004). In this study, smokers were randomly assigned to one of two treatment groups: smoking intervention (SI) group or usual care (UC) group. The survival time is defined as the time (in years) required for a failed quitter to resume smoking. The people residing in the area with the same zip code form a cluster and may be spatially correlated due to the shared environment.

#### Usage

data(smoking)

#### Format

Observed covariates include

SexF  $0 =$  male,  $1 =$  female.

Duration duration as smoker in years.

SI. UC intervention type:  $1 =$  smoking intervention (SI),  $0 =$  usual care (UC).

F10Cigs the average number of cigarettes smoked per day over the last 10 years (rounded).

Relapse  $1 =$  relapse,  $0 =$  no relapse.

Timept1 the time of study entry.

Timept2 the time of resume smoking.

Zip 51 zip codes in the southeastern corner of Minnesota.

#### References

Banerjee, S. and Carlin, B. P. (2004) Parametric spatial cure rate models for interval-censored timeto-relapse data. *Biometrics*, 60: 268-275.



*Multi-Center Clinical Trial of Tonsil Carcinoma* 

#### Description

A tonsil cancer clinical trial study conducted by the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group in the United States. The survival time is defined as the time (in days) from diagnosis to death. In this study, patients in one institution were randomly assigned to one of two treatment groups: radiation therapy alone or radiation therapy together with a chemotherapeutic agent. A part of the data from the study is available in Kalbfleisch and Prentice (2002).

#### <span id="page-14-0"></span>Usage

data(tonsil)

#### Format

A part of the data from the study is available in Kalbfleisch and Prentice (2002), which includes times (in days) from diagnosis to death of 195 patients with squamous cell carcinoma of three sites in the oropharynx between 1968 and 1972 in six participating institutions. Other variables include

Inst institution code, from 1 to 6, represents six participating institutions

Sex  $1 =$  male,  $2 =$  female.

Trt treatment:  $1 =$  standard,  $2 =$  test.

Grade  $1$  = well differentiated,  $2$  = moderately differentiated,  $3$  = poorly differentiated.

Age in years at time of diagnosis.

- Cond condition:  $1 =$  no disability,  $2 =$  restricted work,  $3 =$  requires assistance with self care,  $4 =$ bed confined.
- Site 1 = faucial arch, 2 = tonsillar fossa, 3 = posterior pillar, 4 = pharyngeal tongue, 5 = posterior wall.
- $T$  T staging:  $1 =$  primary tumor measuring 2 cm or less in largest diameter;  $2 =$  primary tumor measuring 2 to 4 cm in largest diameter, minimal infiltration in depth;  $3 =$  primary tumor measuring more than  $4 \text{ cm}$ ;  $4 = \text{massive}}$  invasive tumor.
- N N staging:  $0 =$  no clinical evidence of node metastases;  $1 =$  single positive node 3 cm or less in diameter, not fixed;  $2 =$  single positive node more than 3 cm in diameter, not fixed;  $3 =$ multiple positive nodes or fixed positive nodes.

EntryDate Date of entry: Day of year and year.

Status  $0 =$  censored,  $1 =$  dead.

Time in days from day of diagnosis.

#### References

Kalbfleisch, J. D. and Prentice, R. L. (2002) *The Statistical Analysis of Failure Time Data*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2nd edition.

tonsil\_bootsample *A bootstrap sample for tonsil data*

### Description

A bootstrap sample of tonsil data by sampling Zip with replacement.

#### Usage

data(tonsil\_bootsample)

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

Calculate the variance estimates using the sandwich formula based on the ES algorithm.

## Usage

```
varest(Time, Status, X, Z, id, gamma, beta, kappa, gphi, gcor, bphi, bcor,
      Lambda, w, model)
```


<span id="page-16-0"></span>

Calculate the variance estimates using the sandwich formula based on the EM algorithm.

### Usage

varest2(Time, Status, X, Z, id, gamma, beta, bsurv, w)



# <span id="page-17-0"></span>Index

∗ datasets bmt, [4](#page-3-0) smoking, [14](#page-13-0) tonsil, [14](#page-13-0) tonsil\_bootsample, [15](#page-14-0) basesurv, [3](#page-2-0) bmt, [4](#page-3-0) emes, [5](#page-4-0) es, [6](#page-5-0) geebt, [7](#page-6-0) geecure, [7](#page-6-0) geecure-package, [2](#page-1-0) geecure2, [10](#page-9-0) geega, [12](#page-11-0) initial\_Lambda, [12](#page-11-0) print.geecure, [13](#page-12-0) print.geecure2, [13](#page-12-0) smoking, [14](#page-13-0) tonsil, [14](#page-13-0) tonsil\_bootsample, [15](#page-14-0) varest, [16](#page-15-0) varest2, [17](#page-16-0)